From: Tom McCormick <tommccormick@mac.com>

Sent: Saturday, January 20, 2018 1:48 PM

To: Mock, Barb

Cc: McCrary, Mike; MacCready, Paul; Countryman, Ryan; Dobesh, Michael; Rowe,

Tom; Klein, Ken; Wright, Stephanie; Otten, Matthew; Bloodgood, Jim; Larson,

Jay; Olson, Erik

Subject: The upcoming hearing re Point Wells

Attachments: Point Wells Letter from PDS January 19 2018.pdf

Director Mock:

Having read the County's January 19 letter to BSRE (copy attached), it looks like the Point Wells project is heading to a hearing in a few months.

To avoid big problems down the road, especially if the hearing examiner issues a decision "without prejudice" and gives BSRE some time to resubmit its applications to cure defects and Code conflicts, it is imperative that the 90-foot maximum building height issue be presented to the hearing examiner for his decision, along with all the other issues that PDS wants decided.

Only by ensuring that the 90-foot maximum building height issue gets decided during the upcoming hearing, can the County avoid a situation where BSRE gets to resubmit its applications with buildings taller than 90 feet — a substantial Code conflict involving a critical path issue.

I am aware what the County said at page 32 of its Review Completion Letter: "No decision will take place on this [90-foot maximum building height] issue until the Hearing Examiner renders a decision on the project as a whole." Why no decision until then? Why kick the can down the road, wasting everyone's time and resources in the meantime, when the upcoming hearing gives the County a perfect vehicle to get this critical path issue decided now?

Thank you.

Tom McCormick

I-216 McCormick, Tom -- January 20, 2018

PFN: 11-101457-LU, et. al